

REMARKS

This case has been carefully reviewed and analyzed in view of the Official Action dated January 10, 2005.

The Examiner objected to the title. The title has been changed as suggested by the Examiner.

Further, the Examiner has objected to the drawings because of informalities. Red-lined photocopies of the drawing for FIGS. 2, 3 and 4 are submitted for the Examiner's approval.

Furthermore, the Examiner has objected to the disclosure because of informalities. The disclosure has been amended to overcome this objection.

Moreover, the Examiner has objected to claims 1-4 because of informalities. In addition, the Examiner has rejected claims 1-4 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Further, the Examiner has stated that claims 1-4 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action. Claims 1-4 have been canceled and replaced with new claims 5-7 which are rewritten from original claims in order to overcome the objection and rejection.

The applicant has reviewed the prior art as cited by the Examiner but not used in the rejection and believes that the new claims clearly and distinctly patentably define over such prior art.

It is now believed that the subject Patent Application has been placed in condition of allowance, and such action is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "Leong C. Lei".

Signature

Leong C. Lei

Registration No. 50402

April 9, 2005